

The Hardy–Littlewood prime tuple conjecture and Ramanujan sums

Shivani Goel

(Joint work with Sneha Chaubey and M. Ram Murty)

IIIT Delhi

Comparative Prime Number Theory Symposium

Twin prime conjecture

- A twin prime is a prime number that is either two less or two more than another prime number.

Twin prime conjecture

- A twin prime is a prime number that is either two less or two more than another prime number.
- For example, either member of the twin prime pairs $(3, 5)$, $(11, 13)$, and $(41, 43)$.

Twin prime conjecture

- A twin prime is a prime number that is either two less or two more than another prime number.
- For example, either member of the twin prime pairs (3, 5), (11, 13), and (41, 43).

Conjecture 0.1

There are infinitely many twin primes or pairs of primes that differ by 2.

Hardy-Littlewood k -tuple conjecture

- Let a_1, \dots, a_k be distinct integers, and $b(p)$ be the number of distinct residue classes (mod p) represented by a_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Hardy-Littlewood k -tuple conjecture

- Let a_1, \dots, a_k be distinct integers, and $b(p)$ be the number of distinct residue classes (mod p) represented by a_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- If $b(p) < p$ for every prime p , then

$$\#\{n \leq x : n + a_i \text{ are primes } \forall 1 \leq i \leq k\} \sim \mathfrak{S}(a_1, \dots, a_k) \frac{x}{(\log x)^k},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{b(p)}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k},$$

and the product is over all primes p .

Equivalent form of k -tuple conjecture

- The prime k -tuple conjecture is equivalent to show

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n + a_1) \cdots \Lambda(n + a_k) \sim \mathfrak{S}(a_1, \dots, a_k)x,$$

where “ Λ ” is the von Mangoldt function.

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalent form of k -tuple conjecture

- The prime k -tuple conjecture is equivalent to show

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n + a_1) \cdots \Lambda(n + a_k) \sim \mathfrak{S}(a_1, \dots, a_k)x,$$

where “ Λ ” is the von Mangoldt function.

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p & \text{if } n = p^k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- One can show

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n + a_1) \cdots \Lambda(n + a_k) \sim \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\phi(n + a_1)}{n + a_1} \Lambda(n + a_1) \cdots \frac{\phi(n + a_k)}{n + a_k} \Lambda(n + a_k).$$

Ramanujan sums

- Hardy's formula

$$\frac{\phi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} c_q(n).$$

Ramanujan sums

- Hardy's formula

$$\frac{\phi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} c_q(n).$$

- $c_q(n)$ is called the Ramanujan sums and it is defined as:

$$c_q(n) := \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,q)=1}}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{jn}{q}\right) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d|q}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right),$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$.

Ramanujan sums

- Hardy's formula

$$\frac{\phi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} c_q(n).$$

- $c_q(n)$ is called the Ramanujan sums and it is defined as:

$$c_q(n) := \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,q)=1}}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{jn}{q}\right) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d|q}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right),$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$.

- Observe that $c_q(0) = \phi(q)$ and $c_q(1) = \mu(q)$.

Ramanujan sums

- Hardy's formula

$$\frac{\phi(n)\Lambda(n)}{n} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q)}{\phi(q)} c_q(n).$$

- $c_q(n)$ is called the Ramanujan sums and it is defined as:

$$c_q(n) := \sum_{\substack{j=0 \\ (j,q)=1}}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{jn}{q}\right) = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d|q}} d\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right),$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi ix}$.

- Observe that $c_q(0) = \phi(q)$ and $c_q(1) = \mu(q)$.
- If $(q_1, q_2) = 1$, then

$$c_{q_1 q_2}(n) = c_{q_1}(n) c_{q_2}(n).$$

Heuristic derivation of k -tuple conjecture

- **Heuristically,**

$$\sum_{q_1, \dots, q_k}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q_1) \cdots \mu(q_k)}{\phi(q_1) \cdots \phi(q_k)} \sum_{n \leq x} c_{q_1}(n + a_1) \cdots c_{q_k}(n + a_k) \\ \sim \mathfrak{S}(a_1, \dots, a_k)x.$$

Heuristic derivation of k -tuple conjecture

- Heuristically,

$$\sum_{q_1, \dots, q_k}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q_1) \cdots \mu(q_k)}{\phi(q_1) \cdots \phi(q_k)} \sum_{n \leq x} c_{q_1}(n + a_1) \cdots c_{q_k}(n + a_k) \\ \sim \mathfrak{S}(a_1, \dots, a_k)x.$$

- It is equivalent to show

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{q_1, \dots, q_k}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(q_1) \cdots \mu(q_k)}{\phi(q_1) \cdots \phi(q_k)} \sum_{n \leq x} c_{q_1}(n + a_1) \cdots c_{q_k}(n + a_k) \\ = \mathfrak{S}(a_1, \dots, a_k). \tag{1}$$

Orthogonality property of Ramanujan sums

Theorem 0.1 (Carmichael, 1932)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_r(n) c_s(n+h) = \begin{cases} c_r(h) & \text{if } r = s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Orthogonality property of Ramanujan sums

Theorem 0.1 (Carmichael, 1932)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_r(n) c_s(n+h) = \begin{cases} c_r(h) & \text{if } r = s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

We have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} c_r(n) c_s(n+h) = \sum_{(a,r)=1} \sum_{(b,s)=1} e^{2\pi i h b/s} \sum_{n \leq x} e^{2\pi i n(a/r + b/s)}.$$

The innermost sum is bounded unless $a/r + b/s$ is an integer. □

Orthogonality property of Ramanujan sums

Theorem 0.1 (Carmichael, 1932)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_r(n) c_s(n+h) = \begin{cases} c_r(h) & \text{if } r = s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

We have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} c_r(n) c_s(n+h) = \sum_{(a,r)=1} \sum_{(b,s)=1} e^{2\pi i h b / s} \sum_{n \leq x} e^{2\pi i n (a/r + b/s)}.$$

The innermost sum is bounded unless $a/r + b/s$ is an integer. □

In 1999, Gadiyar and Padma discovered a simple heuristic to derive the case $k = 2$.

Triple convolution of Ramanujan sums

Theorem 0.2 (Chaubey, G., Murty, 2023)

Let r, s, t be squarefree with $(a, r) = (b, s) = (c, t) = 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_r(n+k) c_s(n+h) c_t(n+j) \\ &= \mathcal{K}_\Delta(h-k, j-k) c_U(h-j) c_V(j-k) c_W(h-k), \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \Delta UV$, $s = \Delta UW$, and $t = \Delta VW$ with Δ, U, V, W all mutually coprime and c_U, c_V, c_W are Ramanujan sums and

$$\mathcal{K}_r(h, j) := \sum_{\substack{(b,r)=(c,r)=1 \\ (b+c,r)=1}} e^{2\pi i(hb+jc)/r}.$$

Triple convolution of Ramanujan sums

Theorem 0.2 (Chaubey, G., Murty, 2023)

Let r, s, t be squarefree with $(a, r) = (b, s) = (c, t) = 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_r(n+k) c_s(n+h) c_t(n+j) \\ &= \mathcal{K}_\Delta(h-k, j-k) c_U(h-j) c_V(j-k) c_W(h-k), \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \Delta UV$, $s = \Delta UW$, and $t = \Delta VW$ with Δ, U, V, W all mutually coprime and c_U, c_V, c_W are Ramanujan sums and

$$\mathcal{K}_r(h, j) := \sum_{\substack{(b,r)=(c,r)=1 \\ (b+c,r)=1}} e^{2\pi i(hb+jc)/r}.$$

Using Theorem 0.2, we derive a heuristic proof for the case $k = 3$.

Two variable variant of Ramanujan sums

- Define

$$\mathcal{H}_r(h, j) := \sum_{\substack{(b,r)=(c,r)=1 \\ (b+c,r)=1}} e^{2\pi i(hb+jc)/r}. \quad (2)$$

Two variable variant of Ramanujan sums

- Define

$$\mathcal{K}_r(h, j) := \sum_{\substack{(b,r)=(c,r)=1 \\ (b+c,r)=1}} e^{2\pi i(hb+jc)/r}. \quad (2)$$

- If $(m, n) = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{K}_{mn}(h, j) = \mathcal{K}_m(h, j)\mathcal{K}_n(h, j).$$

Two variable variant of Ramanujan sums

- Define

$$\mathcal{K}_r(h, j) := \sum_{\substack{(b,r)=(c,r)=1 \\ (b+c,r)=1}} e^{2\pi i(hb+jc)/r}. \quad (2)$$

- If $(m, n) = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{K}_{mn}(h, j) = \mathcal{K}_m(h, j)\mathcal{K}_n(h, j).$$

- We have the following orthogonality property

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow \infty \\ y \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{1}{xy} \sum_{\substack{h \leq x \\ j \leq y}} \mathcal{K}_r(h, j) \overline{\mathcal{K}_s(h, j)} = f(r) \delta_{r,s},$$

where $\delta_{r,s}$ is the Kronecker delta function and

$$f(r) = \sum_{\substack{(b,r)=(c,r)=1 \\ (b+c,r)=1}} 1.$$

Higher convolutions of Ramanujan sums

- Assume that

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_k) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_{q_1}(n + a_1) \cdots c_{q_k}(n + a_k).$$

Higher convolutions of Ramanujan sums

- Assume that

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_k) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_{q_1}(n + a_1) \cdots c_{q_k}(n + a_k).$$

- From definition, we have

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_k) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d_1 | q_1, \dots, d_k | q_k} d_1 \mu\left(\frac{q_1}{d_1}\right) \cdots d_k \mu\left(\frac{q_k}{d_k}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ d_1 | a_1 + n, \dots, d_k | a_k + n}} 1$$

Higher convolutions of Ramanujan sums

- Assume that

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_k) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} c_{q_1}(n + a_1) \cdots c_{q_k}(n + a_k).$$

- From definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(q_1, \dots, q_k) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d_1 | q_1, \dots, d_k | q_k} d_1 \mu\left(\frac{q_1}{d_1}\right) \cdots d_k \mu\left(\frac{q_k}{d_k}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ d_1 | a_1 + n, \dots, d_k | a_k + n}} 1 \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d_1 | q_1, \dots, d_k | q_k} d_1 \mu\left(\frac{q_1}{d_1}\right) \cdots d_k \mu\left(\frac{q_k}{d_k}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv -a_1 \pmod{d_1} \\ \vdots \\ n \equiv -a_k \pmod{d_k}}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Generalized Chinese Remainder Theorem

Lemma 1

For a fixed set $T = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and $d_1, \dots, d_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the system

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv a_1 \pmod{d_1} \\ &\vdots \\ x &\equiv a_k \pmod{d_k}\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

has a solution if and only if $(d_i, d_j) \mid (a_i - a_j)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. When the solution exists, it is unique modulo $[d_1, \dots, d_k]$.

Generalized Chinese Remainder Theorem

Lemma 1

For a fixed set $T = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and $d_1, \dots, d_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the system

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv a_1 \pmod{d_1} \\ &\vdots \\ x &\equiv a_k \pmod{d_k}\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

has a solution if and only if $(d_i, d_j) \mid (a_i - a_j)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. When the solution exists, it is unique modulo $[d_1, \dots, d_k]$.

From now on, we will fix T and define a function

$$g(d_1, \dots, d_k) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if (3) has a solution,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\tag{4}$$

Higher convolutions of Ramanujan sums

Theorem 0.3 (G., Murty, 2024)

For fixed integers a_1, \dots, a_k and q_1, \dots, q_k , we have

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_k) = \sum_{d_1 | q_1, \dots, d_k | q_k} d_1 \mu\left(\frac{q_1}{d_1}\right) \cdots d_k \mu\left(\frac{q_k}{d_k}\right) \frac{g(d_1, \dots, d_k)}{[d_1, \dots, d_k]}.$$

Higher convolutions of Ramanujan sums

Theorem 0.3 (G., Murty, 2024)

For fixed integers a_1, \dots, a_k and q_1, \dots, q_k , we have

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_k) = \sum_{d_1 | q_1, \dots, d_k | q_k} d_1 \mu\left(\frac{q_1}{d_1}\right) \cdots d_k \mu\left(\frac{q_k}{d_k}\right) \frac{g(d_1, \dots, d_k)}{[d_1, \dots, d_k]}.$$

- Since $g(d_1, \dots, d_k)$ is multiplicative, we see that $f(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is multiplicative.

Multiplicative functions of several variables

- The theory of arithmetical functions of several variables was initiated by Vaidyanathswamy in 1927.

Multiplicative functions of several variables

- The theory of arithmetical functions of several variables was initiated by Vaidyanathswamy in 1927.
- An arithmetical function of several variables is a map $f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We say f is multiplicative if

$$f(m_1, \dots, m_k) f(n_1, \dots, n_k) = f(m_1 n_1, \dots, m_k n_k)$$

provided $(m_1 \cdots m_k, n_1 \cdots n_k) = 1$.

Multiplicative functions of several variables

- The theory of arithmetical functions of several variables was initiated by Vaidyanathswamy in 1927.
- An arithmetical function of several variables is a map $f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We say f is multiplicative if

$$f(m_1, \dots, m_k) f(n_1, \dots, n_k) = f(m_1 n_1, \dots, m_k n_k)$$

provided $(m_1 \cdots m_k, n_1 \cdots n_k) = 1$.

- For multiplicative functions f , we have a formal Dirichlet series along with an Euler product:

$$\sum_{\underline{n}=\underline{1}}^{\infty} \frac{f(n_1, \dots, n_k)}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_k^{s_k}} = \prod_p \left(\sum_{v_1, \dots, v_k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^{v_1}, \dots, p^{v_k})}{p^{v_1 s_1} \cdots p^{v_k s_k}} \right).$$

Estimation of $f(p^{v_1}, \dots, p^{v_k})$

Lemma 2

For $0 \leq v_i \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$f(p^{v_1}, \dots, p^{v_k}) = (-1)^{|S|} + \frac{(-1)^{|S|}}{p} \sum_{C_i} [(1-p)^{|C_i \cap S|} - 1]$$

where $S = \{i : v_i = 1\}$.

Estimation of $f(p^{v_1}, \dots, p^{v_k})$

Lemma 2

For $0 \leq v_i \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$f(p^{v_1}, \dots, p^{v_k}) = (-1)^{|S|} + \frac{(-1)^{|S|}}{p} \sum_{C_i} [(1-p)^{|C_i \cap S|} - 1]$$

where $S = \{i : v_i = 1\}$.

We define an equivalence relation on $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ using T . We say $i \sim j$ if and only if $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{p}$. This partitions T into equivalence classes C_i . Note that $b(p)$ is the number of equivalence classes.

Heuristic proof of k -tuple conjecture

From Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_k \geq 0} \frac{\mu(p^{v_1}) \cdots \mu(p^{v_k})}{\phi(p^{v_1}) \cdots \phi(p^{v_k})} f(p^{v_1}, \dots, p^{v_k}) \\ &= \sum_{v_1, \dots, v_k \geq 0} \frac{\mu(p^{v_1}) \cdots \mu(p^{v_k})}{\phi(p^{v_1}) \cdots \phi(p^{v_k})} \left\{ (-1)^{|S|} + \frac{(-1)^{|S|}}{p} \sum_{C_i} [(1-p)^{|C_i \cap S|} - 1] \right\} \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{b(p)}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives a heuristic proof of k -tuple conjecture.

Thank You!