

Strong shift equivalence of matrices over a ring

joint work in progress with Scott Schmieding

Mike Boyle

University of Maryland

Introduction

For problems of \mathbb{Z}^d SFTs and their relatives:

$d \geq 2$:

computability conditions are fundamental.

$d = 1$:

Key features:

- (1) Algebra around matrices
(SSE, SE, related invariants)
- (2) Positivity constraints

This talk reports progress on (1).

All rings and semirings are assumed to contain $\{0, 1\}$.

Strong shift equivalence

Let \mathcal{S} be a semiring.

And on the first day [1973], Williams defined strong shift equivalence.

Matrices A, B over \mathcal{S} are elementary strong shift equivalent over \mathcal{S} (ESSE- \mathcal{S})

if they are square and there exist matrices U, V over \mathcal{S} such that

$$A = UV \quad \text{and} \quad B = VU .$$

A, B are strong shift equivalent over \mathcal{S} (SSE- \mathcal{S}) if there exists a chain

$$A = A_0, A_1, \dots, A_\ell = B$$

with A_{i-1} and A_i ESSE- \mathcal{S} for $0 < i \leq \ell$.

Why did Williams define SSE?

- Up to topological conjugacy, every shift of finite type (SFT) is an “edge SFT” σ_A , defined by a square matrix A over \mathbb{Z}_+ .
- σ_A and σ_B are isomorphic (topologically conjugate) iff A, B are SSE- \mathbb{Z}_+ .

But SSE over \mathbb{Z}_+ is very hard to understand completely (not known to be decidable, even restricted to small cases).

So on the second day, Williams defined ...

Shift equivalence

DEFN Square matrices A, B are shift equivalent over \mathcal{S} (SE- \mathcal{S}) if \exists matrices U, V over \mathcal{S} and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A^\ell &= UV & B^\ell &= VU \\ AU &= UB & BV &= VA \end{aligned}$$

Always: SSE- \mathcal{S} implies SE- \mathcal{S} . Also

- SE- \mathbb{Z}_+ is decidable (Kim-Roush).
- SE- \mathbb{Z}_+ turns out to be reasonably tractable, and closely related to significant applications in symbolic dynamics
- SE over \mathbb{Z} (or other rings) has useful and conceptually satisfying algebraic reformulations.

Classifying shifts of finite type.

Williams gave us:

- Theorem (Annals of Math 1973)
 $SE-\mathbb{Z}_+ \implies SSE-\mathbb{Z}_+ .$
- Conjecture (Annals of Math 1974)
 $SE-\mathbb{Z}_+ \implies SSE-\mathbb{Z}_+ .$

Eventually counterexamples were constructed (Kim Roush 1992,1999), based on a lovely algebraic topological structure created by Wagner (“strong shift equivalence space”).

No progress since on understanding refinement of $SSE-\mathbb{Z}_+$ by $SE-\mathbb{Z}_+$.

However ...

From here \mathcal{S} is a ring.

There are good reasons to study SSE over other rings and semirings.

- To approach the \mathbb{Z} problem.
- There are other symbolic dynamical systems presented by matrices over \mathcal{S}_+ and classified up to conjugacy by SSE over \mathcal{S}_+ .
E.g.:

$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}G$, G finite:

SSE- \mathbb{Z}_+G classifies free G -SFTs.

$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}G$, $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$: SSE- \mathbb{Z}_+G classifies irred. SFTs with Markov measure.

$\mathcal{S} =$ integral semigroup ring of a certain noncommutative semigroup:
SSE over \mathcal{S}_+ classifies sofic shifts.

- For understanding constraints of order on algebraic properties of matrices.
- Understanding $SSE-\mathcal{S}$ for its own sake.
- Understand better proofs that can't work and theorems that can't be proved.

Before confronting the hard problem of understanding how $SSE-\mathcal{S}_+$ refines $SE-\mathcal{S}_+$, we would like to understand how $SSE-\mathcal{S}$ refines $SE-\mathcal{S}$.

It was known that $SE-\mathcal{S}$ implies $SSE-\mathcal{S}$ if

$\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}$ (Williams, 70s)

$\mathcal{S} = \text{PID}$ (Effros, 80s)

$\mathcal{S} = \text{Dedekind domain}$ (B-Handelman, 90s).

That was it.

Definitions

$GL(\mathcal{S}) =$ group of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ matrices $\begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$
with U finite invertible.

$EL(\mathcal{S}) =$ subgroup generated by basic elementary matrices E
($E = I$ except perhaps in one offdiagonal entry)

$EL(\mathcal{S}) =$ commutator subgroup

$K_1(\mathcal{S}) = GL(\mathcal{S})/EL(\mathcal{S})$

The central connection for clarifying $SSE-\mathcal{S}$ is
...

THEOREM (B-Schmieding)

Suppose A, B are matrices over \mathcal{S} . TFAE.

(1) A and B are SSE over \mathcal{S} .

(2) There are E, F in $\text{EI}(\mathcal{S}[t])$ such that $E(I - tA)F = (I - tB)$.

The finite matrices $I - tA, I - tB$ are embedded as the upper left corners of matrices with all other entries zero (and identified with these infinite matrices).

This grows out of work by Shannon, BGMY, Wagoner, Kim-Roush-Wagoner, B-Sullivan.

The theorem above leads to ...

THEOREM (B-Schmieding)

Let A be a square matrix over \mathcal{S} .

(I) If B is SE over \mathcal{S} to A , then there is a nilpotent matrix N such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$$

is SSE over \mathcal{S} to B .

(II) The map

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow I - tN$$

induces a bijection from the set of SSE classes of matrices SE over \mathcal{S} to A to the abelian group

$$NK_1(\mathcal{S})/H_A .$$

The group $NK_1(\mathcal{S})$ is an important group in the algebraic K-theory of the ring \mathcal{S} . It is the kernel of the map

$$K_1(\mathcal{S}[t]) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{S})$$

induced by $t \mapsto 0$.

The group H_A is the set of elements in $K_1(\mathcal{S})$ containing a matrix U such that there is E in $\text{El}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $U(I - tA)E = I - tA$.

What about this group

$$NK_1(\mathcal{S})/H_A$$

which captures the refinement of $SE\text{-}\mathcal{S}$ by $SSE\text{-}\mathcal{S}$?

$NK_1(\mathcal{S})$ if nontrivial is not finitely generated (Farrell 1977).

$H_A = 0$ if A is nilpotent or \mathcal{S} is commutative.

Any consequences of Theorem?

Known fact: for $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}G$ with $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$:
 $NK_1(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ iff n is squarefree.

For the not-squarefree case: we expect this will let us refute a working conjecture of Bill Parry on the classification of skew products of mixing SFTs by finite groups.

For a huge class of rings, we now know SE- \mathcal{S} implies SSE- \mathcal{S} . This includes $\mathbb{Z}G$ with $G = \mathbb{Z}^n$.

THM. Suppose A and B are matrices over a dense subring \mathcal{S} of the reals, with A primitive and B SE over \mathcal{S} to A , with $\text{trace}(A) > 0$.

Then B is SSE over \mathcal{S} to a primitive matrix.

(The “Generalized Spectral Conjecture” of B-Handelman is reduced to realization by any element of a shift equivalence class.)

In “Path Methods for strong shift equivalence of positive matrices” (B-Kim-Roush 2013), the constructions of certain SSEs of positive matrices A, B over \mathcal{S} a dense subring of \mathbb{R} depended on an assumption A, B SSE over \mathcal{S} (not just SE). We now know this is not an artifact of a deficient proof. E.g., $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Q}[\pi^2, \pi^3, e, e^{-1}]$ has $NK_1(\mathcal{S})$ nontrivial.

In (B-Kim-Roush 2013), a 3-step program was proposed for understanding $SSE\text{-}\mathcal{S}_+$ of positive trace matrices over \mathcal{S} a dense subring of \mathbb{R} . One step was to understand the refinement of SE by SSE over \mathcal{S} .

In this work, we found a characterization of equivalence in the Bass group $Nil_0(\mathcal{S})$ which (so far?) we have not found in the literature.

The connections involved in these results may lead to ideas useful for understanding the \mathbb{Z}_+ case of SSE. This suggestion is perhaps not so wild as it might appear.

As Sinai replied, when asked after a talk whether he thought his probabilistic approach to the Mobius subshift could lead to a proof of the Riemann Hypothesis:

The situation is not hopeless.